

FILE

MINUTES OF THE
BEAR RIVER COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING

NOVEMBER 25, 1974

BEAR RIVER COMMISSION

Minutes of Regular Meeting held in Salt Lake City, Utah

November 25, 1974

The Regular Meeting of the Bear River Commission was held November 25, 1974 in Room 313, State Capitol Building, Salt Lake City, Utah. The meeting commenced at 10:00 a.m., with Chairman E.O. Larson presiding.

THOSE PRESENT:

UNITED STATES

E.O. Larson, Chairman and U.S. Representative, Salt Lake City, Utah

COMMISSIONERS

IDAHO

William G. Jenkins, Malad
J.C. Hedin, Preston
Clifford J. Skinner, Montpelier

UTAH

Daniel F. Lawrence, Salt Lake City (Secretary-Treasurer)
Paul Holmgren, Bear River City

WYOMING

J.W. Myers, Evanston
George L. Christopoulos, Cheyenne (sitting for Floyd Bishop)
S. Reed Dayton, Cokeville

ASSISTANT SECRETARY

Wallace N. Jibson, Logan, Utah

LEGAL ADVISOR

E.J. Skeen

POWER COMPANY

J. Golden Haight
Carlyle B. Burton

ALTERNATES AND OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

R. Keith Higginson, Ex officio Member, Boise, Idaho
Gordon E. Harmston, Ex. Dir., Dept. of Natural Res., Utah

Marion Olsen, Utah, Div. Water Res.
Calvin Funk, Richmond, Utah (Alternate)

Thomas O. Parker, Legal Advisor to Federal Representative
Ted Arnow, U.S. Geological Survey, Salt Lake City, Utah

Bert Page, Division of Water Resources, Salt Lake City, Utah
Dee C. Hansen, Division of Water Rights

R. Michael Turnipseed, Division of Water Rights, Logan office
Russell D. Stoker, Water Commissioner, Soda Springs

Joe Lord, State Engineers Office, Cheyenne, Wyoming
John A. Teichert, State Bd. of Control, Wyo.

Norman Stauffer, Utah Division Water Resources
Connie Borrowman, Secretary

BEAR RIVER COMMISSION

Minutes of the Regular meeting of the BEAR RIVER COMMISSION held November 25, 1974, in Room 313, State Capitol Building, Salt Lake City, Utah. The meeting commenced at 10:00 a.m., with Chairman E.O. Larson presiding.

CALL TO ORDER

CHAIRMAN LARSON: If you will come to order, we will start the Regular Meeting of the Bear River Commission.

I have had three suggestions that I sit up at the head of the table, which the Chairman usually does; but at my old age I'm getting just a little hard of hearing and I'd like to sit at the middle of the table so that I can hear everybody.

Before we get into the regular schedule—We all were shocked, of course, at the death of Mr. Kunz of Idaho last May. When I found out about it, I called Connie, and we thought it would be appropriate if she would prepare a letter for Mrs. Kunz, which she did, for my signature as Chairman of the Commission. For the record, and for the people here, I will read that letter:

Mrs. Ferris M. Kunz
Montpelier, Idaho

Dear Mrs. Kunz:

We were certainly shocked to hear of the sudden death of our friend, Ferris Kunz.

He has served faithfully as a member of the Bear River Commission, representing the State of Idaho, since April of 1967. During this time we have learned to love and appreciate him in the water business where three States are involved in the operation of a major river, such as the Bear. It is important to have men of integrity and vision to protect the interests of the people. In this respect Ferris served diligently and valiantly, and was a leader among men.

Our deliberations on the Commission will suffer because of this loss. We certainly take solace and are grateful for the memory of his life and service, and we extend our sympathies to you and the family in your hour of mourning.

Sincerely,

/s/ E. O. Larson,
Chairman

I received a card from the Kunz family:

"During a time like this we realize how much our friends really mean to us. Your expression of sympathy will always be remembered."

This is to the Bear River Commission. Signed, "The Kunz Family". So we took care of that, I think, in the best way that we should.

I think there are some new faces here. We might introduce the people of each State, starting with Idaho.

MR. JENKINS: Mr. Chairman, I would like to introduce Mr. Clifford J. Skinner of Dingle, Idaho, who is the newly-appointed representative on the Compact Commission. He lives at Dingle; he is a farmer and dairyman and livestockman, there at Dingle. The Governor has also designated me as the new Chairman of the Idaho delegation. The other member, of course, is Mr. Joe Hedin; and our Director of the Department of Water Resources is our Ex-officio advisor, Mr. Keith Higginson.

MR. HIGGINSON: I'm not sure that everyone has met Russ Stoker, who is the Watermaster in Idaho on the Bear River.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Wyoming -

MR. MYERS: This is George Christopulos, a Deputy in our State Engineer's Office; Joe Lord, who took Barker's place on the water program in the office; I'm Wes Myers.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Utah -

MR. LAWRENCE: We don't have any new members; we are getting to be veterans on this Commission lately. But, I'm Dan Lawrence—for you new men—Chairman of Utah's Commission delegation; and we have Paul Holmgren, Commissioner from the Lower Division of Utah and Gordon Peart is not here yet. Marion Olsen, Chairman of the Utah Board of Water Resources, is here; and he is also, as you know, Chairman of the Tri-State Negotiating team. We have Dee Hansen, who is our State Engineer; and Mike Turnipseed, who is the State Engineer's representative in the Bear River Basin; Dr. Stauffer of my office; and my boss, Gordon Harmston, the Executive Director of the Department of Natural Resources.

And the Power Company is represented here—I think we got accused of favoritism in trying to introduce them, so maybe you ought to introduce the Power Company, and Wally, and G.S. (USGS).

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Some of those here I don't know -

MR. LAWRENCE: Why don't you stand up and -

MR. HAIGHT: I'm Jay Haight; and this is Carlyle Burton. We expect Bob Porter to be here also.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: We have Thomas Parker here—legal advisor to the Federal representative.

MR. LAWRENCE: Ted Arnow is here; and our Assistant Secretary, Wally Jibson; and our Secretary to the Commission, Connie Borrowman; and the actual Secretary-Treasurer, Mr. Bert Page, is here to—I just have the name.

READING OF MINUTES

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Second item is the reading of the Minutes. As usual, we'll have Mr. Jibson give a brief summary.

MR. JIBSON: The Minutes were distributed in October, Gentlemen; but we have a few copies here, and if anyone wants to look at these today, they may do so.

I have briefly summarized the Minutes of April 15, 1974:

Review of Minutes Annual Meeting April 15, 1974

Meeting was held in Salt Lake City, commenced at 10:15 a.m., with alternate commissioner Simeon Weston sitting for Gordon Peart and John Teichert sitting for Reed Dayton.

Minutes of previous meeting were summarized and approved as circulated.

A motion was adopted to continue J.W. Myers as vice-chairman of the Commission for the coming year, also Mr. Lawrence as Secretary-Treasurer.

The report of the Secretary-Treasurer showed a balance of \$35,029 as of March 1, 1974, of which \$22,567 would be obligated to the Geological Survey as of June 30, 1974.

The report of the Assistant Secretary showed anticipated water supply ranging from 112 to 142 percent of normal. An increase in the 1975 fiscal-year budget was requested and approved by the Commission with provision that some of the unobligated reserve fund would be needed. An estimate for the 1976-77 bienniums also was presented and subsequently approved but without action to change assessment to the States. At Mr. Lawrence's request, the Assistant Secretary discussed in detail the breakdown of the budget, particularly that part dealing with direct expenditures in compact administration. Mr. Lawrence suggested the advisability of increasing the Legal Consultant fee and cost for transcription of minutes. Mr. Skeen and Connie indicated they did not intend to submit a request. Mr. Jenkins noted a discrepancy in the 1976-77 estimate that will be discussed in my report today.

J.W. Myers raised a question on new rights from Idaho classified for irrigation and storage. We concluded that this applied to an overnight type of storage.

After the Budget Committee recommended approval of the 1975 revised budget and the 1976-77 estimates, the question arose as to the assessment to each State for the 1975 fiscal year. How much each State had budgeted was somewhat in doubt, so it was agreed to maintain the assessment at \$14,000 each and fall back on the reserve fund for the balance required of about \$6,000.

The meeting adjourned at 11:20 a.m., after which the Chairman reconvened the meeting at 11:40 a.m. to appoint a committee--Kunz, Bishop, and Lawrence--to keep the Commission appraised (of negotiations in the Tri-State Negotiating Committee).

MR. JIBSON: ... "to keep the Commission appraised"... That's where I stopped, because I couldn't find out in the Minutes exactly what they were to keep the Commission appraised of; and I thought--well, I will check with the Chairman this morning before the meeting and he can tell me--but he could not; and Connie could not; so perhaps the Committee can. I think it was to keep the Commission appraised of the activities of the Negotiating Committee; but I'm not sure.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Mr. Myers, you made the Motion.

MR. MYERS: You would have to read it to me.

MR. JIBSON: Well, we could read the part where he made the Motion-- on page 20 of the Minutes. After adjournment, Chairman Larson reconvened the meeting at 11:40 a.m.

"MR. MYERS: I will so move that the Chairman appoint a committee to keep us aware, and to function with him as needed.

MR. KUNZ: Second the Motion.

MOTION CARRIED.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: A committee of three--I will appoint Idaho, Kunz; Wyoming, Bishop; Utah, Lawrence. The Chairman hereby appoints these gentlemen--that will be the committee.

Meeting adjourned at 11:45 a.m."

(Laughter)

MR. MYERS: I think that's going to take a little research.

(Laughter)

MR. MYERS: I can remember something about that. We were discussing something, and it was left unfinished; so we called it

back to order, and we had discussed it, and I just made this Motion the way you've got it there. It refers to whatever it was; I'm not going to make an uneducated guess.

(Laughter)

MR. LAWRENCE: I make a Motion we dissolve the committee.

(Laughter)

Ferris is not here; and Floyd is not here either.

MR. MYERS: At least Lawrence is on the committee. Maybe we ought to have a report to bring us up-to-date.

(Laughter)

MR. LAWRENCE: In view of Mr. Kunz's death, we would have to reorganize, anyway.

MR. MYERS: Someone ought to research what it was, though.

MRS. BORROWMAN: I think, in reading back through the Minutes, it's like Mr. Jibson said—to keep the Commission informed of the activities of the Negotiating Committee. Although it wasn't ever really stated that that's what it was, I think it was implied that that was what it was.

MR. JIBSON: That concluded my summary.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Well, we will go ahead with the Agenda.

Report of the Chairman. I have no report.

MR. JIBSON: Do you want to make a Motion to accept the Minutes as circulated?

MR. LAWRENCE: I so move.

MR. MYERS: I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: You have heard the Motion; it has been seconded. Those in favor, say 'aye'.

MOTION CARRIED.

MR. SKEEN: Maybe before that is finalized, you'd better strike that sentence about the unknown committee. It leaves a little doubt about it; and I think—

MR. JIBSON: This is just in my summary, Ed; it's not in the Minutes.

MR. SKEEN: It isn't in the Minutes at all?

MR. JIBSON: Only as I read it.

MR. SKEEN: Well, it lacks clarity; and I think it might be a good idea to run a pen through that before you finally salt them away.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: The report will be in the next Minutes—the Minutes of this meeting.

MR. JIBSON: Yes. Or we could strike it—

MR. LAWRENCE: How did it appear in the Minutes?

MR. JIBSON: On page 20 is the only reference to it.

REPORT OF SECRETARY-TREASURER

CHAIRMAN LARSON: The next item is the report of the Secretary-Treasurer.

MR. LAWRENCE: Mr. Chairman—I think each of you have the handout. I have asked Bert to turn to page 3. In other words, if you'll just turn to page 3—I thought it would be better if he starts with March of last year, since our last meeting. Bert, will you go through this?

MR. PAGE: Do you all have access to a copy of the Financial Report?
(See pages 13, 14, and 15).

The meetings of the Commission do not necessarily correspond to the end of the fiscal year. So—in former times, several years ago, it used to leave a void between the meeting in April and the end of the fiscal year; and so every November meeting I have tried to prepare a third sheet, here, to appraise you of the things that have happened from the last meeting to the end of the fiscal year. That's on page 3.

Now, the financial statement that I gave you before—last meeting—was dated March 31. And it showed a cash balance on hand of \$35,029.11. Since that time, we have had interest income of \$825.78. There have been five checks written, as indicated on here—one to our Secretary; one to Mr. Skeen; one to the Florist Shop which was for Mr. Kunz; one to the printing company for the Annual Report; and the check for the Geological Survey. This left a cash balance, then, at the end of the fiscal year, of \$12,312.39. This is the amount of money that we began this fiscal year.

Now, if you'll turn back to page 1, you'll see that we begin there with this \$12,312.39. We have had interest income of \$326.62. We have had income from the contributions of the states of \$35,000.00—for a total income of \$47,639.01. The only expenditure we have had to date is \$10.00 and this is for postage for the Logan office.

Now, if you'll turn to page 2—as indicated there, check #214 is a check for that \$10.00. Check #217 is a check to the Utah State Treasurer for \$34,000.00. I'd like to pause at this moment and let Mr. Lawrence explain that to you—and then I'll go on with the rest of the report.

MR. LAWRENCE: As you note, we have received \$35,000.00 from the States. The Utah State Treasurer has adopted a program over the past year or so, in which he invites all public entities to join in a pool for investment purposes; and each morning he goes to the market with surplus State funds and funds of other public entities, counties, and cities, and so forth,—and by joining, we get a large volume. On August 14th, for instance, I think he went to the market with \$29 million. And he invests, then, in the highest-paying stable, secure, interest.

We deposited with the State Treasurer \$34,000.00—and I did this on my own, as the Treasurer, having convinced myself that it was very safe and stable. We got about 11½% and that was for 90 days. We have, since October 31, received a check for \$670 interest, which we will reinvest when we've got some other money coming—and we thought we'd wait a day or two and then reinvest. We took the \$34,000 which matured, and reinvested it for 63 days, 'til January 16, when we apparently feel that we will need it. In other words, we analyzed our anticipated spending. Now, the interest rates today are just a little bit lower—that was 8 7/8%. But as near as I can figure out, it's a service that—I have to walk down two floors, and give him a check; and he worries about where to invest it, and all the other details—I thought was a 'winner'. I'm sure that the interest rate is as good or better than any way we could get it.

MR. JENKINS: You anticipate, then, phasing out the accounts with First Federal Savings and American Savings?

MR. LAWRENCE: Yes, we do. There was reason for leaving them in, because they would have been penalized if we had taken them out.

MR. PAGE: One of them is a two-year Savings Certificate; if you take it out early, you lose interest on it—so we couldn't see losing it.

MR. LAWRENCE: And I guess you would know better than I about this 8 7/8%—what day was that?

MR. PAGE: The 14th.

MR. LAWRENCE: That seemed to be the market that day, anyway.

MR. PAGE: The reason for the 63 days—We had anticipated that at the first of the year we would get a bill from the Geological Survey for our twice-a-year billing; and we forgot to inform the Treasurer that, through negotiations of our Assistant Secretary, the USGS has agreed to bill us once a year. This is a lot better than it was a few years ago when we got it quarterly. This allows us to leave our money in there and get the interest on it; so, once a year we will have a billing from them. Incidentally, this \$670 is, I think, more than the first investment we made in Treasury bills—I believe it was. I felt good about that, because it has, at least, covered our printing expenses; and this 90 days has done more than that, so I think it's a good move.

Moving on down in the Financial Report to the bottom--bank reconciliation--you will notice that we had cash in the bank of \$2,176.08. We have a savings account with the State Treasurer of \$34,000.00. First Federal Savings, which is a passbook savings account, is \$1,452.93. American Savings, which is the savings certificate which we could not cash, is \$10,000.00. Which gives us a total cash on-hand and in the bank of \$47,629.01.

Are there any questions?

MR. LAWRENCE: Let me just make one additional explanation.

Another feature of our participation with the State Treasurer-- we can call on that at any time. If we have it in there for 90 days, and we decide that we have to have \$1,000 or \$5,000 to meet an obligation, he'll give us a check for what we want to draw out; and of course we are not penalized--we just draw interest from the time he's had it. Then he has a kind of a--oh, \$8,000 or \$10,000 or \$100,000 which is in there just kind of in limbo; and so he'll invest somebody else's money to fill in. So, it's pretty hard to lose.

MR. HOLMGREN: Bert, I'm sure there's an explanation for the State of Idaho contributing only \$7,000 instead of \$14,000; but I've forgotten what that is.

MR. PAGE: I'm not sure, exactly. I think it started about two years ago--Keith? Something like that. Idaho was short some funds-- I'm not exactly sure--why don't you explain it, Keith?

MR. LAWRENCE: They're just paying twice a year instead of once.

MR. HIGGINSON: We pay twice a year instead of once, because our money's allotted on a six-months basis and it's difficult to adjust the accounts and get the full allotment of \$14,000 in the first half of the fiscal year.

MR. HOLMGREN: I see in last year's budget that there was \$14,000 from Idaho; but this year there was only \$7,000.

MR. PAGE: Well, the last report covered the second half of the fiscal year. It was after January; and so it was just \$7,000.

MR. HOLMGREN: I see.

MR. LAWRENCE: It covered the total year. And now he's going to pay again--.

MR. HOLMGREN: July 1st to March 31st. That was only--

MR. PAGE: That was 9 months.

MR. HOLMGREN: That was 9 months.

MR. PAGE: That's covered after January. That covers that.

MR. LAWRENCE: They'll probably pay us in January or soon after—the first month of the second half.

MR. PAGE: The Commission books have been audited by the Certified Public Accounting firm of Hatch & Miller, of Salt Lake. The report was brought to me this morning by the Auditor; and I have copies here.

I think the first page of the audit is generally similar to most audits that are performed. His statement says that "in our opinion the accompanying statement of revenue and expenditures presents fairly the result of operations of the Bear River Commission for the twelve months ended June 30, 1974, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis consistent with the prior year." That's dated November 15, and signed by Hatch & Miller.

On the second page, they indicated the things that have taken place—expenditures and so forth. It's quite similar to our financial report. They indicated where the income was from—the three states, \$14,000 each; total interest last year of \$1,731.71; gross expenditures of \$43,950 that we pay to the Geological Survey; administrative expenses of \$1,313.25. It indicates there that we spent \$1,531.54 more than we took in. Now you remember, I think, that Wally in his report indicated that we were going to overrun that, and we had to pull some out of surplus. That's what this is showing.

They indicate the funds available at the beginning and ending of the fiscal year. At the end of the year, it indicates there is \$19,910.38 overdraft in the bank account; but we have \$32,246.70, which would cover it, in savings. The check is dated June 30, so that it shows it in that year's business; where it's not mailed out to us until the end of July, when we've got interest in the savings account. So we're not cutting checks, as this would indicate.

The third page is a comparison of the two years, showing the different expenditures. As far as income, the only difference there is in interest. I think this is possibly the year that Idaho started the twice-a-year payment, which has dropped the interest. Expenditures, as you can see, are higher in '74, as you would expect. In '73 it indicates the annual report was twice as expensive. The thing that happened here is that the billing did not get in until after the books were closed; so we actually have two billings in 1973, as opposed to one in 1974.

Then on the final page, it indicates what they are— "The Bear River Compact is a tri-state agreement" between the three States' and why it was formed.

Now, it is my understanding that a copy of this report will be sent to the Governor of each State—I think our Secretary will handle that. Do you send a copy of this to the President, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN LARSON: What is it?

MR. PAGE: Do you send a copy of this to the President? I wasn't sure whether you did or not—I have a copy for you, if you did.

MR. JIBSON: It goes in the Annual Report, Bert.

MR. PAGE: Which goes to the President? Alright.

This is the financial report then, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: A Motion is in order to approve the copy of the report.

MR. JENKINS: I move that it be accepted.

MR. DAYTON: Second the Motion.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: You have heard the Motion. Those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed?

MOTION CARRIED.

REPORT OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Next item is the report of the Assistant Secretary.

MR. JIBSON: This is a report on our 1974 operation—water supply and compact operation.

(The report is attached as a part of the Minutes, with the following comments made by Mr. Jibson and others as indicated.)

1. (Water supply) You will note in the tables that we improved as we came downstream, as far as percentage of average is concerned. You might note also that we had a much better year, both on a seasonal basis and on a water-year basis, in 1974 than we did in 1973; there is considerable difference here, on Smith Fork particularly, between the two years.

2. (Forecast-runoff comparison) Here again, it has been evident in recent years that we get quite a variation in the forecast and the actual streamflow; but, part of this is quite understandable in the unusual season that we have had this past year.

3. (Supply Hydrographs) If you followed the graphs while I was reading the text, you will notice the variation in longtime average supply with that during the past season.

4. (Budget underspending, 1974 FY) This may sound a little inconsistent—in view of a statement that Bert made that we had a little deficit spending of \$1,600. Actually, what it amounted to is that the States' assessment has been leveled off at \$14,000 for the last

STATEMENT OF INCOME AND EXPENDITURES

FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 1974, TO OCTOBER 31, 1974

<u>INCOME</u>	<u>CASH ON HAND</u>	<u>MISC. INCOME</u>	<u>APPROVED BUDGET</u>	<u>TOTAL REVENUE</u>
Cash Balance 7/1/74	\$12,312.39	\$ ---	\$ ---	\$12,312.39
State of Wyoming	---	---	14,000.00	14,000.00
State of Idaho	---	---	7,000.00	7,000.00
State of Utah	---	---	14,000.00	14,000.00
Interest on Savings and Other Income	---	\$ 326.62	---	\$ 326.62
TOTAL INCOME TO OCTOBER 31, 1974	\$12,312.39	\$ 326.62	\$35,000.00	\$47,639.01

DEDUCT OPERATION EXPENSE

<u>EXPENDED THROUGH U.S.G.S.</u>	<u>Approved Budget</u>	<u>Unexpended Balance</u>	<u>Total Expenditure</u>
Stream Gaging	\$38,400.00	\$38,400.00	\$ - 0 -
Personal Services	6,893.00	6,893.00	- 0 -
Travel	100.00	100.00	- 0 -
Fiscal Charge	369.00	369.00	- 0 -
Washington Office Charge	738.00	738.00	- 0 -
General Office	150.00	150.00	- 0 -
Sub Total	\$46,650.00	\$46,650.00	\$ - 0 -

EXPENDED THROUGH COMMISSION

Printing Annual Report	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ - 0 -
Treasure Bond & Audit	300.00	300.00	- 0 -
Transcription of Minutes	100.00	100.00	- 0 -
Legal Consultant	300.00	300.00	- 0 -
Office Expense & Supplies	50.00	40.00	10.00
Miscellaneous	---	---	---
Sub Total	\$ 1,350.00	\$ 1,340.00	\$ 10.00

Total Disbursements	\$48,000.00	\$47,990.00	\$ 10.00
----------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-----------------

UNEXPENDED CASH BALANCE OF OCTOBER 31, 1974			\$47,629.01
--	--	--	--------------------

BEAR RIVER COMMISSION
DETAIL OF EXPENDITURE
FOR PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 1974

<u>Voucher</u> <u>No.</u>		
214	Postmaster	\$ 10.00
215	Void	---
216	Void	---
217	Utah State Treasurer	34,000.00
Sub Total		\$ 34,010.00
LESS: Amount Above in Savings Account		34,000.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PAGE 1		\$ 10.00

BANK RECONCILIATION
OCTOBER 31, 1974

Cash in Bank per Statement 10/31/74		\$ 2,176.08
Less: Outstanding Checks		None
Total Cash in Bank		\$ 2,176.08
Plus: Savings Accounts	Utah State Treasurer	\$ 34,000.00
	1st Federal Savings	1,452.93
	American Savings	10,000.00
TOTAL CASH ON HAND AND IN THE BANK		\$ 47,629.01

BEAR RIVER COMMISSION

SPECIAL NOTE

The financial statement for the period ending March 31, 1974, showed a cash balance on hand of \$35,029.11. Since that time, and prior to July 1, 1974, we received additional income and additional expenditures were incurred that are not shown on the October 31, 1974, statement.

The reconciliation is shown below:

BALANCE AS OF 3/31/74	\$35,029.11
Plus Interest Income	<u>825.78</u>
	\$35,854.89

Check 208	Connie Borrowman	\$	50.00
209	E. J. Skeen		300.00
210	Void		--
211	Barrett Floral Shop		25.75
212	Rose Printing		599.75
213	U. S. Geological Survey		<u>22,567.00</u>

LESS TOTAL INTERIM EXPENDITURES \$23,542.50

CASH BALANCE AS OF 7/1/74 \$12,312.39

The balance of \$12,312.39 is the same as the beginning balance of the report of October 31, 1974.

several years; and even though we exceeded the \$14,000 assessment, we did cut \$1,200 below the approved Budget for the fiscal year that ended June 30th.

5. (Direct expenditure item) That's the unmatched item of Compact assistance.

6. (Change in fiscal year) This would be a recurring thing each year, if we follow that procedure.

7. (Applications for appropriation) This is particularly in Wyoming.

8. (Applications for appropriation) That's the first right listed for Wyoming—a fairly sizeable right, which has been cancelled.

9. (Applications for appropriation) I didn't see anything in glancing through these filings of particular note to the Commission. There are several pages. You might look through those pertaining to your State, and I will try to answer any questions that might come up.

MR. LAWRENCE: Mr. Chairman — Are you through, Wally?

I was wondering if our counsel happens to know—is our budget year July 1 to June 30 because of our bylaws or just because of standard practice or in the Compact. Is there any reason why we should or should not consider a budget year which would correspond to the Federal budget year?

MR. SKEEN: I wouldn't want to state without reviewing it. I can review it today and report to the Chairman.

MR. LAWRENCE: That may not be consistent with the States' views. But the other thought I had—we meet twice a year, and as you note, we had a little tag-on report from our April meeting to July 1st; and I wondered if there would be any advantage in changing our period of reporting? Or would that be too inconsistent with—

MR. JIBSON: I think it is in the bylaws, rather than in the Compact itself.

MR. SKEEN: It isn't in the Compact.

MR. JIBSON: It's in the bylaws—"The fiscal year of the Commission shall begin July 1 of each year and end June 30 of the next succeeding year."

MR. SKEEN: I think that was probably established to comply with the Federal, as I remember. We can easily change the bylaws if we want to.

MR. LAWRENCE: We could change them in a meeting; couldn't we?

MR. SKEEN: I think we could.

MR. JENKINS: Mr. Chairman, would a Motion to amend be in order?

MR. SKEEN: I think it would be up to the States—in keeping with their fiscal policy.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: You have heard the Motion. Is there a second?

MR. SKEEN: No; there is no Motion. He asked if it would be in order.

MR. JENKINS: Mr. Chairman, let me move that we refer the matter to the Budget Committee and ask them to bring us a recommendation at the April meeting. Maybe that would be the best way to approach it.

MR. SKEEN: Now, that is in the form of a Motion.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Yes, that's in the form of a Motion. Is there a second?

MR. LAWRENCE: I'll second that, to get it on the table.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: You have heard the Motion. It has been seconded. Those in favor say 'aye'.

MR. LAWRENCE: Mr. Chairman--

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Opposed?

MOTION CARRIED.

MR. HOLMGREN: Mr. Chairman, did you get a vote on that.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Yes.

MR. HOLMGREN: It was very quiet.

I suppose Wes Myers and myself are the only members on the Budget Committee. I suppose we don't have one from Idaho—do we, Wes? I think those two were the ones mentioned. I think Ferris Kunz was a member of the Budget Committee. Possibly we should have—I was wondering if this wouldn't be a time to bring this Committee up to full strength?

MR. JIBSON: Both committees will have to be reorganized—both the Budget and the Operations Committees, Paul, would have to be brought up to strength.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Could we bring that up on Report of Committees?

REPORT OF LEGAL CONSULTANT

CHAIRMAN LARSON: The next item is the report of the Legal Consultant.

MR. JIBSON: Mr. Chairman, I made a statement in the report that we probably would require Commission action today on the increase in the 1976-77 biennial budget. It was approved in our April meeting, but the increase that became necessary because of the oversight has not been approved. I would think the auditors would want approval by the Commission today; and perhaps this is the time to do that, or later.

MR. SKEEN: I think that ought to be done; that Motion should be made.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Anybody want to make a Motion on it?

MR. JIBSON: We don't have a full budget committee, or I would have called the committee into session before this meeting so that they could make a recommendation to the Commission; but to review for the Commission, and the two members of the Budget committee—this was an oversight in excluding the unmatched portion of our budget, the part that is paid 100% by the Commission. So in order to correct the error, it is necessary to allocate to the States \$900 each, additional, for the two-year period in order to cover this item with no change in the Federal government's allocation. So what it amounts to is that you are asked to approve a \$900 additional allocation to each of the three States for the 1976-77 biennium.

MR. MYERS: If we make an open motion like we did in the last meeting, will Connie have enough notes, so it will come out as a complete Motion?

MRS. BORROWMAN: It will come out exactly as you say it—you just say it right.

MR. MYERS: That takes quite a long Motion—it's all explained in the Minutes.

MR. LAWRENCE: Let me explain, for Connie's defense, the procedure—and maybe we should change the procedure—but Connie takes a verbatim transcript and sends it to the Assistant Secretary, and he produces the Minutes which you get.

MR. MYERS: Well, I'm not blaming Connie at all for this thing; but, what I mean is, if I make a motion like I apparently did last time to cover this situation, and then there's no notes kept on it—it's all in the budgetary report which he just explained to us.

MR. SKEEN: Wes, why don't you make a Motion and tie it to this Secretary's report and that's on page 10 of the Secretary's report, the second paragraph, right at the end—or rather the Assistant Secretary's report. If you tie it to that, then it will clear a Motion for sure.

MR. LAWRENCE: This is saying, then, that our assessment is not \$14,000; it's \$14,900?

MR. JIBSON: This is not the assessment; this is the States' share of the budget. The assessment is another matter that we discussed in the letter in October. You may want to discuss that, too.

MR. SKEEN: That \$900 is the 5th line from the bottom in the 2nd paragraph--right over on the left-hand margin.

MR. HOLMGREN: I just want a little clarification on this from Wally. This, evidently, this \$900 a year is just a temporary item--is that correct--to cover the overlooked items that amounted to over \$1,300 (per year). Is that right?

MR. JIBSON: You see, it's a two-year estimate that we had to get approved in the April meeting for the 1976-77 biennium. Now, the budget that I gave you in April was incorrect, because I had not included this \$1,350 a year or \$2,700 for the two-year period of unmatched budget--unmatched by the Federal government. So the \$2,700, then, would break down to \$900 per State for the biennium, to cover the item of Direct Expenditure that we inadvertently left out. So, when you say a temporary item--it's really a matter of amending the budget that was approved in our April meeting.

MR. HOLMGREN: And this fulfills the amount needed?

MR. JIBSON: Right.

MR. JENKINS: But it will be a continuing thing, won't it, Wally?

MR. JIBSON: Oh, yes; it wouldn't be just a 'one-shot' deal. It's an item that has always been there.

MR. LAWRENCE: Now, what about the Secretary's report at this meeting, which shows an approved budget of \$2,650--or a total of \$48,000 approved budget? Is that any relation to that?

MR. JIBSON: No; this Secretary's report today--or Treasurer's report--does not say anything about 1976-77.

MR. LAWRENCE: Well, is part of that \$900 in '74-'75?

MR. JIBSON: It is all there in the budget. But there is no revision necessary the current year.

On even-numbered years, we always get the biennial budget approved in April, ahead of time for use in legislative committees. But this has nothing to do with the current fiscal year, or the 1974 fiscal year.

MR. MYERS: I'll move that the budget correction noted on page 10 of the Assistant Secretary's report, as of today, be accepted by the Bear River Commission as their official budget figure.

MR. JENKINS: Second it.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: You have heard the Motion; it has been seconded. Those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed?

MOTION CARRIED. Does that clear it up for you?

MR. JIBSON: This clears that up.

Now, if you'd like—it's up to the Commission—we can discuss this assessment per State that I suggested in our letter of October 4 that you may want to discuss at this time.

MR. SKEEN: Would you re-read that letter?

MR. LAWRENCE: I would like to have that refreshed in my mind.

MR. JIBSON: Okay; let me re-read the letter. I think all of the Commissioners received it.

This went out as a covering memo with the Minutes on October 4, 1974.

"While reviewing and editing the minutes, we note that Commissioner Jenkins had called our attention to a discrepancy in budget estimates for the 1976-77 biennium (page 6, Report of Assistant Secretary). Allocation to stream gaging did not equal twice the allocation to the USGS as it should have done for a 50-50 stream-gaging program. The discrepancy was due to an oversight in including only the Cooperative Agreement part of the budget (\$181,800) in the total and failing to include the Direct Expenditure items for audit, bond, legal retainer, etc. which were estimated at \$1,350 annually, the same as for the past several years.

"Allocation (\$20,100) to Compact Administration did include the above Direct Expenditure estimate; so to correct the biennial estimate, it would require adding \$900 to each of the three States' allocation. Page 6 of my report has been revised to show the allocation as approved and as revised.

"Assessment to each of the States, discussed at some length in the last meeting, was continued without change for the 1975 fiscal year with provision that the revised budget would require using part of the unobligated reserve funds. We note that nothing was said concerning State assessments for the 1976-77 biennium, even though the States evidently need these estimates for legislative planning before the next Commission meeting. After consultation by phone (September 9) with Bert Page and Keith Higginson, both of whom were then preparing budget estimates, I suggested to them and to an assistant in the Wyoming State Engineer's office (I couldn't get Floyd, or George, either one that day) that the estimated assessment be raised to \$16,000 and \$18,000 for 1976 and 1977 respectively or to \$34,000 if budgeting for the biennium.

"For each of the five fiscal years, 1971-75, each State's assessment has been \$14,000 (except \$13,600 in 1971), even though total operating costs have risen an average of 5 percent per year. Supplemental Federal appropriations and interest income have offset the increased costs, so that projected reserve funds as of June 30, 1975 will likely be as high or higher than at the beginning of the

5-year period. Even so, the larger increases in 1976 and 1977— which now must include office rental cost—obviously would more than deplete the reserve, so we felt it advisable to make this recommendation prior to the November meeting. Wes Myers and Paul Holmgren, the only designated members at present on the Budget Committee, should take particular note of the correction and the suggested State assessment for further discussion and action as may be necessary in the November meeting."

MR. JIBSON: So, even though Mr. Myers Motion, now, has taken care of the budget estimate clear through 1976 and 1977, we have had nothing, except what I've stated here, as regards the assessment to each State which is made by the Commission.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: What is your suggested Motion?

MR. JIBSON: Well, I made the suggestion, individually, to the three States, that since they had been asked for a budget before today, the \$14,000 be raised to either \$16,000 for the first year of the biennium and \$18,000 for the second year, or \$34,000 for the biennium which would be \$17,000 a year. This suggestion was made to Bert, for Mr. Lawrence; to Keith Higginson for the Idaho office; and to a fiscal assistant in Mr. Bishop's office.

MR. DAYTON: What would that represent--about a 15% increase?

MR. JIBSON: I think on the revised page 6 I revised that increase between the two bienniums, because it did amount to another \$900 per State.

MR. LAWRENCE: We did not adopt an assessment at the April meeting?

MR. JIBSON: Right.

Reed, in answer to your question, on revised page 6, I say, "The above estimate, which includes an additional rental factor in 1976, represents a 9.6% (that's a revised figure; I did have 6%) increase to the States over the revised 1974-75 biennial budget." So, between the two bienniums, this represents a 9.6 percent increase.

MR. DAYTON: I was just looking at the figures--\$14,000 against \$16,000 in one year; and that amounts to about 15%, doesn't it?

MR. JIBSON: Well, this is budget that I'm speaking of; this is not assessment. We just picked a round figure on assessment and that would be a greater percentage. As I mentioned, we have leveled off our assessment for four years in a row now; and have been making it up with additional Federal allocation plus interest; but this suggested assessment allowed some safety factor in there to build the reserve back up somewhat.

MR. LAWRENCE: So what you are saying is, that for fiscal year 1976 we will have drawn into our surplus to the extent that we should have an assessment from the States of \$16,000?

MR. JIBSON: Well, yes; this would be a round figure, in order to still maintain a little surplus. In other words, if you didn't change it at all, and you went ahead with \$14,000 again for each of the following two years, I'm sure we would more than deplete the reserve.

MR. HOLMGREN: Wally—then your operating costs for the past five years have gone up 25%? Is that right? 5% a year?

MR. JIBSON: Roughtly, 5% a year.

MR. HOLMGREN: And you've been compensated from Federal appropriations?

MR. JIBSON: For part of it.

MR. HOLMGREN: For—they've gone up at least 25%, I suppose, in their appropriations—and then we've made it up with surplus interest?

MR. JIBSON: That's right; without increasing your assessment to your Legislature. This is what it amounts to.

MR. HOLMGREN: What is your anticipation of further Federal appropriations? Are they going to continue to go up at the rate they have in the past?

MR. JIBSON: We have to budget for an increase of about a 5 percent salary increase per year, judging from past experience. Since salaries comprise about 80 percent of our total budget; then what it amounts to, is that by holding the line on most other items we have been getting an increase of about 5 percent per year for the last 4 or 5 years.

MR. LAWRENCE: Does Idaho and Wyoming—does your Legislature appropriate annually or biennially?

IDAHO: Annually.

WYOMING: Biennially.

MR. LAWRENCE: This next session would appropriate for two years? Have you 'cranked' that into your budget?

MR. CHRISTOPULOS: Well, it seems to me that we did ask for a little additional for Bear River Commission, but I can't recall the figures.

MR. JIBSON: George, your assistant, the day I talked to her, said about the same thing; but she couldn't recall the exact figure. You and Floyd were both out, and I didn't follow through and call back again later; but she said you did increase it some.

1976-77
Commercial Bear
184,500
34,000 for State
80,200 GS

MR. LAWRENCE: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Bear River Commission be authorized to assess the States \$34,000 for the biennium beginning with fiscal year 1976; that that be pro-rated on the basis of \$16,000 for fiscal year 1976, and \$18,000 for fiscal year 1977.

MR. JENKINS: Second.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: You have heard the Motion. Are there any comments, or discussion? If not, those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed?

MOTION CARRIED.

REPORT OF COMMITTEES

CHAIRMAN LARSON: The next item is Report of Committees.

On the Budget Committee, I have Mr. Myers as Chairman, Mr. Holmgren, and Mr. Kunz. We need a new member from Idaho.

MR. JENKINS: Mr. Chairman, Idaho will designate myself as the replacement for Mr. Kunz on the Budget Committee. And then Mr. Joe Hedin will take my place on the Operations Committee.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Who on the Operations?

MR. JENKINS: Mr. Hedin.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Oh; okay.

First of all, do you have any report Mr. Myers, as Chairman? Mr. Myers, you are Chairman of the Budget Committee. Do you have any report?

MR. MYERS: I think our problems have been very thoroughly discussed at this time. I don't have anything additional. We have already made a Motion on this \$16,000 and \$18,000 deal. That is, basically, an increase from \$14,000 to \$17,000—which is a lot more. You are basing this, Wally, on the fact that for about four years now, we have been getting along without any change, so we've got to jump up and start over. I'll have to rib Idaho just a little bit on this. If they don't get their money in, we don't make interest off of it—they're not carrying their share. They better get their money in so we can get the interest.

MR. LAWRENCE: They want the interest.

(Laughter)

MR. MYERS: Well, if we had to go four years on our surplus and interest, why of course we better have that interest.

MR. JIBSON: We would hope, Wes, that we can hold this down as much as possible. It looks like a big jump from \$14,000 to \$17,000. (For the period, 1972-77, increase equals 2.8 percent per year with a uniform increase and the same total assessment (\$90,000).

MR. MYERS: Well, we'll have to see what we've got in our budget; and if not, we're going to have to revise it. At least, request it.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: Operations Committee. Mr. Dayton, I have you down here as Chairman.

MR. DAYTON: We have nothing to report.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: And we have two standing committees. We have the State Engineers' Committee. I assume there is no report from them?

MR. HIGGINSON: No report.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: And.....

MRS. BORROWMAN: Mr. Larson, would you speak up a little? I just can't hear you.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: I'll turn this way, Connie.

Any unfinished business?

If not, is there any new business?

MR. LAWRENCE: Mr. Chairman, these new gentlemen were introduced properly. I wondered if they would like to expand, and tell us a little about themselves—any more than was said in the introductions? I'll just have to get acquainted with them afterwards, then. I think George is Deputy State Engineer for Wyoming; isn't that right?

MR. MYERS: We still have a very good State Engineer; but he had two meetings to go to today. Floyd Bishop is still in; but not—

MR. FUNK: But not for long?

MR. MYERS: We really don't know what the situation is. As I understand it, appointments of that usually call for a resignation, and then he is either reappointed or not reappointed.

MR. TEICHERT: George could probably tell us whether he has resigned or whether he hasn't. I know he's had his resignation in for some time; but, I've worked with George for 15 years, now, and he's done a very good job as Deputy, and--

(Much conversation taking place, Chairman Larson pounded his gavel for attention)

MR. HOLMGREN: May I ask a question, Mr. Chairman? With Wes and myself on the Budget Committee; and now Mr. Jenkins—in the going over this comedy of errors, as Wally has so ably put it—I was wondering--it seemed like we settled quite a few things here, especially with this last Motion on moving the budget (assessment) up from \$14,000 to \$17,000 in the biennium. I was wondering if

that took us 'off the hook' in our investigation as a budget committee on raising this appropriation \$900 more? Are we still--?

MR. JIBSON: We covered it in two Motions, Paul. First, we covered the \$900 in one Motion; and then the other in a second. Now, ordinarily, the Budget Committee has to meet in our April meeting in even-numbered years; and so, as far as a new biennial budget is concerned, we won't be faced with that until April of 1976. Other than, in the detailed breakdown of the coming fiscal-year budget which I present to the Commission each April.

MR. LAWRENCE: We have already approved the budget for fiscal year 1976?

MR. JIBSON: And 1977.

MR. HOLMGREN: It seems to me that the second Motion that was passed sort of took care of the first Motion. Is that true?

MR. JIBSON: No; they were both necessary. In one case we're dealing with State assessments; in the other case, we're dealing with Commission budget. So, it required both Motions.

MR. MYERS: Mr. Chairman, there's one thing that this points out to me; and that is, that as a member of the Budget Committee, I haven't been following it as closely as I should. We have been leaving it pretty much up to Wally; and then we have been co-meeting about a half-hour ahead of the regular meeting. And I wonder if we wouldn't be more prudent and efficient in operating as a Committee, if we would meet with Wally ahead of time, so that we had a downright, solid understanding of this thing; instead of trying to do it in a half-hour before the meeting. We could probably get to Logan, or meet him somewhere; and the three of us actually go over this thing and do a better job.

MR. JIBSON: I think that's a good suggestion, Wes; and we also should include the Secretary-Treasurer in any Budget Committee meetings; so there should be five actually involved. I agree with you that in our thirty-minute sessions with people coming in ahead of time and being there with us, we don't really get a chance to sit down and talk it out.

MR. MYERS: We really don't have the depth of understanding in that length of time that we should have.

MR. JIBSON: I think that's a good suggestion.

MR. LAWRENCE: It doesn't give you a chance to check with your home office, with people who are responsible for getting that budget-- in each State.

MR. MYERS: Well, I was meaning going over the planning of our expenditures. Instead of just doing it kind of 'off the cuff', in a few minutes, we need to spend a little more time and get a little deeper into it--as a Committee.

MR. HOLMGREN: I would certainly agree with Wes on that, Wally; and I was just wondering how many days before our meeting we could do some good by meeting with you?

MR. JIBSON: Just off-hand, I would say that we ought to be pretty close to our meeting—within a few days, a week, or something like that.

Now, for next April, unless you would desire to meet ahead of time, the only thing that the Commission would have to act on next April is the detailed breakdown of the 1976 fiscal-year budget that has now been approved. But, in even years in our Annual Meeting, we should have a complete going-over of the budget with the Budget Committee and Dan. But, for next spring, all we would have is the detail; we could still meet 30 minutes ahead and discuss that if you'd like. But it doesn't call for any new budget estimate of any kind, as far as next year.

MR. MYERS: Every other year that you have to have a new budget estimate, on account of the biennial appropriations?

MR. JIBSON: Right.

MR. MYERS: What happens if some State doesn't put up the amount recommended? Then what's your situation?

MR. JIBSON: Well, so far, we haven't run into that; I hope we don't.

MR. HOLMGREN: I would think that possibly we would leave it up to you, Wally, to notify us in 1976.

MR. JIBSON: Then if anything comes up by next spring that looks like we are going to need a revision of some kind in the April meeting, then I'll get in touch with you beforehand, and we'll prepare to meet a few days ahead.

MR. MYERS: I think that's the thing to do—leave it up to your discretion, Wally; and if we have enough that we have an in-depth deal, why—call us in.

MR. JIBSON: Fine.

MR. MYERS: Because you just can't do it in 30 minutes and know what you're doing.

MR. HIGGINSON: Mr. Chairman, can I make sure I understand. With the so-called 'comedy of errors' corrections, we have now changed the estimated budget for the 1976-77 biennium to a total of \$14,450 per State. Now, that's the estimated budget, isn't it? Based upon the budget that was approved—and now you've upped that another \$900, so it's now \$14,450 per State?

MR. JIBSON: No; this isn't correct, Keith. The \$14,000 was merely an assessment; it was not the budget.

MR. LAWRENCE: On page 19 of the Minutes—"Mr. Lawrence moved that we approve an increase of the cost of fiscal year '75, and that \$14,000 still be the assessment"—\$6,000 out of reserve. I think we had \$14,000 for each State, plus \$6,000 total—in last meeting.

MR. JIBSON: But that's for 1975; this is 1976-77 that is being discussed today.

The breakdown is this revised page 6 or my report (April 15, 1974). We show both the approved and the corrected estimate. Go to the back of the Minutes and my report--You have the right Minutes, now just go over to page 6 of my report. It would be half of \$34,100 for each State per year, just over \$17,000.

MR. JENKINS: What Minute page of the April 15 Minutes does that fall on?

MR. JIBSON: Well, I attach my report to the end of the Minutes; so it's page 6 of my report, which is attached.

This is the second revision to page 6.

MR. LAWRENCE: So, in effect, we approved the change in the Minutes—we approved permission to insert that revised page in the Minutes of the last meeting.

MR. JIBSON: Right.

MR. LAWRENCE: Before I make the Motion to adjourn, Mr. Chairman, let me just say that there were some of the delegates interested in our newspaper reports of activities of the Utah Legislative Council concerning Great Salt Lake. And I have invited Jim Christensen, who is Assistant Director of the Division of Water Resources,—he's been on leave of absence for six months from that position, serving as 'chief of party'—anyway, working for the Legislative Council in that study. He's here, right following this meeting, to answer any questions that you might have that you think--Utah, or the other states. I felt that the status of that action is in limbo; and therefore, it would probably not be appropriate to have it in the formal Minutes of this meeting; but I think Jim is very willing to stay right here immediately following this meeting, and give you a little presentation of the deliberations of that study committee.

MR. DAYTON: Mr. Chairman, before we adjourn—I'd just like to ask a question. What is the status now of the Negotiating Committee?

MR. LAWRENCE: The Negotiating Committee met last in November of 1973. They elected Marion Olsen as Chairman, and said that it appeared inappropriate for the Committee to meet again until the States of Utah and Wyoming presented letters to the Committee outlining what those States wanted in a Compact change, or in a plan of implementation, so that further meetings would be more fruitful and meaningful. Utah has not yet submitted such a letter.

We have had some meetings of our Utah delegation, and were prepared to try and call a meeting of the Negotiating Committee, notwithstanding the understanding that the Committee would not meet. But after polling some of the members by phone, there was an indication that perhaps that was not the way to go; so a meeting has not been called.

I would maybe like to presume that maybe it would be appropriate to leave Mr. Olsen in as Chairman until at least the April (1975) meeting of the Commission; at which time, hopefully, Utah could indicate a letter 30 days prior to a date of a meeting being called; and resume the negotiations.

I talked about this to my Governor; and he had suggested that after the first of the year when the new Governor in Wyoming is in office, he would talk to the Governors on how we are coming, and so forth.

And I guess that's the status, as far as Utah is concerned.

MR. OLSEN: Is Wyoming ready to answer the request made of them, Wes?

MR. MYERS: In what respect? What do you mean?

MR. OLSEN: Well, you answered it once, I think; and you made a direct answer of it. But they asked about something specific from each of the States, didn't they?

MR. MYERS: Yes; I think we--if I remember it right, I think we did submit a very specific request. I would have to research it; but I'm sure that Floyd submitted a request. I can't remember--

MR. LAWRENCE: Yes; we have the records. Connie can check that out.

MR. MYERS: Of course, as time goes on, other factors enter in; maybe we'll have to take another look at that when we reapprove it, or something; because I couldn't quote it--I know the details of some of it, but I couldn't quote all of it. But I'm sure it's all in there; and it wasn't any drastic change from our original stand that we submitted a year or two ago.

MR. OLSEN: We felt, too, that the problems that have developed on Great Salt Lake is a three-State problem, too; because something has to be done--we want something done. And this enters into our discussion. We have been concerned about this report that Jim is making for you today, to the State. But I think we need to start things moving, and get something going.

MR. MYERS: Well, we certainly feel this way, and have for a long time, that we should get some activity. We will cooperate in any way that we can; and I am sure the new Governor will go right along with it, too.

MR. TEICHERT: Rather than each one of us meeting with Jim individually, I'd like to hear his report.

MR. LAWRENCE: He'll be here right after this meeting is adjourned.

MR. MYERS: You bet.

MR. LAWRENCE: I make a Motion we adjourn.

MR. HOLMGREN: I second.

CHAIRMAN LARSON: A Motion has been made and seconded that we adjourn. Those in favor say 'aye'.

MOTION CARRIED.

Meeting adjourned at 11:35 a.m.

REPORT TO BEAR RIVER COMMISSION

November 25, 1974

Wallace N. Jibson
Assistant Secretary

1974 Water Supply and Compact Operation

Water Supply

In contrast to the hot, dry summer with spotty precipitation that averaged about half of normal, seasonal streamflow ranged from 111 percent of the 1943-74 average in the upper basin to 133 percent on Logan River. The snowpack on May 1 was about 24 percent above average and resulted in the better-than-average runoff in May and June as shown in the hydrographs of supply for the Upper Bear River and Smiths Fork in figures 1 and 2, but runoff exceeded the average by much less than this 24 percent because of deficient rainfall in May and June.

Comparison of 1973 and 1974 seasonal and water-year streamflow with a longtime average at representative gaging stations in each of the river divisions is shown in the following tables:

Streamflow in Acre-Feet

May - September

	<u>1973</u>	<u>1974</u>	<u>Average 1943-74</u>	<u>1974 as Percent of Average</u>
Upper Bear River	114,500	129,500	116,400	111%
Smiths Fork	81,200	130,600	110,500	118%
Logan River	102,500	165,200	123,900	133%

Water Year

Upper Bear River	138,900	158,500	139,400	114%
Smiths Fork	114,500	165,300	143,400	115%
Logan River	166,600	226,300	185,100	122%

The cooperative forecast of May 1, as would be expected, was too high in the basin above Bear Lake because of subsequent below-average precipitation; however, similar conditions existed on Logan River where the forecast was 14 percent low. Comparative runoff is shown in the following table:

May - July Runoff in Acre-Feet

	<u>Measured</u>	<u>SCS-Coop Forecast</u>
Upper Bear River	120,900	140,000 (+16%)
Smiths Fork	*141,100	*168,000 (+19%)
Logan River	135,800	117,000 (-14%)

* April - September

Reservoirs

Hydrographs of the surface elevation and usable content of Bear Lake are shown for 1973 and 1974 in figure 3. The Lake reached a maximum elevation of 5922.05 feet late in June or 0.77 foot higher than in 1973. Usable storage content at the peak is 1,308,000 acre-feet or 54,000 acre-feet more than last year. Some flow was bypassed during the spring runoff, so the Lake failed to reach as high a peak as was predicted, and the subsequent draft release was somewhat above average.

The hydrograph of Woodruff Narrows Reservoir in figure 4 shows that irrigation draft started shortly after mid-June and the reservoir drawn down 15,100 acre-feet below spillway crest. In storage at the end of September was a total of 12,900 acre-feet.

Draft on Sulphur Creek Reservoir was about 4,200 acre-feet with 3,000 acre-feet of holdover and dead storage now in the reservoir. Whitney Reservoir was drawn down almost to the dead storage point of 500 acre-feet. Likewise, Woodruff Creek Reservoir was drawn down

near the point of dead storage and is now impounding all inflow.


Streamflow Distribution

Final computation of canal records in the Upper Division is not yet complete, so hydrographs of diversion and allocation data could not be prepared for this meeting. Interstate allocation was in effect from about June 27 through July 15 during which time some regulation was required on diversions in the Upper Wyoming Section.

Central Division graphs of diversion and allocation data are shown in figures 5 and 6. Divertible flow and section allocations during period of water emergency are plotted on a basis of weekly averages and may vary somewhat when daily computations are completed for the Wyoming Section. Flow past the Border gage dropped below 350 cfs on July 29 about the same date that divertible flow dropped below 870 cfs; either condition bringing about a water emergency and interstate allocation. Such water emergency continued for the balance of the season and Wyoming diversions were regulated where necessary to keep within the section allocation. No difficulties arose and compliance with terms of the Compact was obtained. Natural-flow supply was in the average range during the period of interstate regulation (July 29-September 30).

UPPER DIVISION - BEAR RIVER SUPPLY *

CUBIC FEET PER SECOND




*Bear River near Utah-Wyoming State line

Figure 1

CENTRAL DIVISION - SMITHS FORK SUPPLY *

CUBIC FEET PER SECOND



*Smiths Fork near Border, Wyoming

Figure 2




Figure 3





Figure 4

CENTRAL DIVISION-WYOMING SECTION

Cubic Feet Per Second



-8-

Figure 5

CENTRAL DIVISION - IDAHO SECTION

Cubic Feet Per Second




Figure 6

Budget

As mentioned in one of the letters on compact operation, expenditures in the 1974 fiscal year were reduced \$1,200 below the approved budget, so each of the Federal and Commission allocations was reduced \$600.

Somewhat of a comedy of errors crept into our projected estimates for the 1976-77 biennium. A discrepancy first was noted in the April 15 report wherein the allocation to the Geological Survey did not equal half the total allocation to the stream-gaging program. Two days later, I forwarded a corrected page 6 to members of the Commission in which the stream-gaging estimate was increased in order to correct the error and Compact Administration decreased a like amount, so the total remained the same. Then, in a review of the budget and minutes in September, it was found that the original discrepancy was due to an oversight in failing to include the direct-expenditure item (audit, bond, etc.) in the total though it was included in the Compact Assistance item. So, another revised page 6 was forwarded, but this now required adding \$900 to each States' allocation to cover the two-year cost of the overlooked item (\$1,350 per year). This correction and State assessment were discussed in some detail in my letter to the Commissioners dated October 4, and I would assume should require some Commission action today.

Our Secretary-Treasurer, Mr. Lawrence, informed us by letter of September 24, 1974 that he was taking advantage of the higher interest rates available through the Utah State Treasurer and was investing \$34,000 through this media. I requested approval from

our Washington office to bill the Commission on an annual rather than semi-annual basis in order to take further advantage of this higher rate of interest. This approval was given, so billing will be next June 30 for the entire 1975 fiscal year.

Another matter that should be brought to your attention concerns the pending change in the Federal government's fiscal year. This change will become effective at the beginning of the 1977 fiscal year, which will begin October 1, 1976 and end September 30, 1977. The reason for the change is to enable Congress to complete appropriation bills hopefully by September 30 and eliminate present temporary authorizations. There will be a transition quarter July 1 to September 30, 1976 to effect the change.

We foresee some problems in making the transition, particularly with the Federal-State programs. We anticipate a 15-month Federal budget for the period July 1, 1975 to September 30, 1976 and are hopeful that perhaps by utilizing part of the surplus in the Commission account, matching funds will be adequate for the one long fiscal year. In the following fiscal year there would be a 12-month billing period but a 15-month assessment period that would permit reimbursement to the surplus account if the States remain on the present fiscal year basis. Or, another approach is that if the States' assessment continues to be as of July 1 each year, 25 percent of this assessment might be used on September 30, then the remainder could be used in the next Federal fiscal year.

Applications for Appropriation

We have several pages of approved and pending water rights reported since our last meeting. A few of these previously have been submitted as pending and are now submitted as approved, rejected, or cancelled. Of note is the underground filing in Wyoming for 8.89 cfs which is now cancelled. Most applications submitted from Utah are underground domestic and stockwater filings that again comprise the majority of all filings from the three States.

Applic Number	Date of Filing	Name	Source	Use	Location	Amount (cfs)
<u>STATE OF UTAH</u>						
29-1863	4- 5-74	Ellis V. Flint	Underground-well	Stk, Irr	S 6 T14N R3W Box Elder	0.1
29-1865	4- 5-74	Karl G. Welling, et ux	Belmont Drainage	Stk, Irr	S 1 T12N R3W Box Elder	0.5
29-1866	4-11-74	Larry Neaman	Underground-well	Irr	S18 T14N R3W Box Elder	0.015
29-1867	4-11-74	Rex &/or Vida Godfrey	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S36 T13N R3W Box Elder	0.015
25-6170	4-10-74	Ezra J. Nixon, Jr.	Underground-well	Stk, Irr	S10 T12N R1E Cache	0.1
25-6171	4-16-74	Stephen Szot	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S19 T14N R1E Cache	0.015
25-6172	4-15-74	Duane Chadwick	Unnamed spring	Dom, Stk, Irr	S29 T14N R2E Cache	0.015 *
25-6174	4-23-74	Hammer Canal Irr. Co.	Underground-drain	Stk, Irr	S29 T13N R1E Cache	0.50 *
29-1868	4-16-74	Tom A. Davis	Open drain	Stk, Irr	S21 T10N R2W Box Elder	1.0 *
25-6175	4-25-74	W. Harold Baker	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S10 T 9N R1E Cache	0.015
25-6176	4-22-74	George Don Apedaile	Underground-drain	Stk, Irr	S35 T14N R1E Cache	0.5
25-6177	4-29-74	Del Monte Corporation	Underground-well	Irr	S34 T13N R1E Cache	2.0
29-1869	4-29-74	Quinten R. Hess	Unnamed creek	Stk, Irr	S 1 T12N R3W Box Elder	0.25
29-1870	4-29-74	Quinten R. Hess	Unnamed springs	Stk, Irr	S 1 T12N R3W Box Elder	1.0
29-1871	4-29-74	Quinten R. Hess	Belmont Drain	Stk, Irr	S 1 T12N R3W Box Elder	1.0
29-1872	5- 1-74	Audrey Jensen	Unnamed spring	Stk, Dom, Irr	S22 T10N R2W Box Elder	0.025
25-6178	4-30-74	Joseph R. Eliason	Underground-well	Stk, Dom, Irr	S21 T11N R1E Cache	0.015
25-6179	5- 2-74	Norman Walker	Underground-well	Stk, Dom, Irr	S10 T10N R1W Cache	0.1
23-3412	5- 6-74	Ken R. Lindquist, et al	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S20 T13N R6E Rich	0.015
25-6180	5- 7-74	Ellen G. Callister	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S10 T10N R1W Cache	0.25
29-1873	5- 2-74	Morris L. Allen	Underground-drain	Dom, Stk, Irr, Oth	S35 T10N R2W Box Elder	0.5
29-1874	5- 2-74	Morris L. Allen	Unnamed spring	Dom, Stk, Irr	S36 T10N R2W Box Elder	0.067
25-6181	5- 3-74	Lynn F. James, et al	Underground-drains	Stk, Irr	S10 T12N R1E Cache	0.50
25-6182	5- 6-74	Ross McDermain	Underground-well	Irr	S21 T11N R1E Cache	0.033
25-6183	5- 6-74	Ray O. Olsen	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S11 T11N R1W Cache	0.1
25-6184	5- 7-74	Theron Mauchley	Underground-well	Irr	S21 T11N R1E Cache	0.033
25-6185	5-14-74	River Oak Farms	Unnamed drain	Stk, Irr	S15 T14N R1W Cache	2.0 *

Applic Number	Date of Filing	Name	Source	Use	Location	Amount (cfs)
			<u>STATE OF UTAH</u>	<u>(Continued)</u>		
21-1338	5-24-74	David A. Dolowitz	Underground-well	Stk, Dom, Irr	S 9 T2N R10E Summit	0.015
29-1876	5-21-74	Leo D. Ericksen	Two unnamed springs	Dom, Stk, Irr	S18 T12N R2W Box Elder	0.030 *
25-6188	5-22-74	Dennis Jones	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S30 T13N R1W Cache	0.015
25-6187	5-20-74	Seldon S. Bridges	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S30 T11N R1E Cache	0.015
29-1878	5- 9-74	Glade Evans, et ux	Unnamed spring	Dom, Stk, Irr	S26 T10N R2W Box Elder	0.05
25-6189	5-29-74	David Lillywhite	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S13 T12N R1W Cache	0.015
25-6190	5-31-75	Lloyd Carpenter	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S15 T11N R1E Cache	0.015
25-6191	5-31-74	Virgil Merrill	Drain	Irr	S36 T14N R1W Cache	0.5
25-6192	5-31-74	Donald C. Wolford	Underground-drain	Irr, Stk	S17 T11N R1E Cache	0.1
29-1879	5-30-74	Orval Petersen, et al	Underground-well	Dom, Stk	S14 T11N R4W Box Elder	0.03
25-6193	6- 5-74	Benjamin J. Schwartz	Underground-well	Irr	S 5 T10N R1E Cache	0.015
25-6194	6-14-74	Max E. Burnson	Underground-drain	Irr	S35 T12N R1E Cache	0.1
23-3413	6-14-74	Frank E. Weston	June Spring	Dom, Stk	S 9 T13N R7E Rich	0.022
23-3414	6-14-74	Vern Petersen	Unnamed spring	Dom, Stk, Irr	S 6 T14N R5E Rich	0.2 *
29-1881	6-17-74	DeVere Harris, et ux	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S 6 T14N R3W Box Elder	0.015
29-1882	6-17-74	Dan Perry	Underground-well	Irr	S 6 T 9N R2W Box Elder	0.10
25-6196	6-18-74	Grant Gudmundson, et ux	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S 8 T11N R1E Cache	0.015
29-1884	6-25-74	Peterson Ranching Co.	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S14 T14N R3W Box Elder	0.25 *
21-1340	6-27-74	Paul J. Maxwell	Underground-well	Dom	S 9 T2N R10E Summit	0.015
25-6197	6-28-74	Tom Walker	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S20 T10N R1E Cache	0.015
25-6198	6-27-74	Gene Baron	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S 3 T12N R1E Cache	0.015
29-1885	6-26-74	Kenneth S. Major	Willow Creek	Irr	S10 T12N R2W Box Elder	0.10 *
25-6199	6-28-74	Clark Weeks	Unnamed spring	Irr, Stk	S23 T14N R1W Cache	0.10
29-1888	7- 1-74	Lucile B. Roundy	Unnamed spring	Stk, Irr	S 3 T12N R2W Box Elder	0.10 *
25-6200	7- 8-74	Greek Gardens	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S21 T12N R1E Cache	0.10
21-1341	7- 8-74	Am. Quaser Petroleum	Underground-well	Dom	S17 T2N R11E Summit	0.015 *
25-6210	7-10-74	Walkara	Unnamed spring	Dom, Stk, Irr	S 6 T12N R2E Cache	0.05 *

Applic Number	Date of Filing	Name	Source	Use	Location	Amount (cfs)
			<u>STATE OF UTAH</u>	<u>(Continued)</u>		
25-6211	7-10-74	Reed Bentley, et ux	Underground-drain	Stk, Irr	S12 T13N R1W Cache	0.50 *
29-1892	7-15-74	Michael L. Timothy	Underground-well	Dom, Stk	S23 T11N R3W Box Elder	0.015 *
29-1893	7-11-74	Malcolm &/or Al Young	Underground-drain	Dom, Irr	S22 T10N R4W Box Elder	0.5 *
25-6212	7-11-74	James P. Miller	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S 7 T10N R1E Cache	0.05 *
29-1894	7-15-74	F.D. &/or M.W. Hill	Mill Run Ditch	Stk, Irr	S31 T10N R2W Box Elder	0.1 *
25-6214	7-17-74	V.T. Pitcher Family Tr.	Underground-drain	Stk, Irr	S16 T14N R1W Cache	0.5 *
25-6215	7-17-74	V.T. Pitcher Family Tr.	Cornish City overflo	Stk, Irr	S17 T14N R1W Cache	0.5 *
29-1896	7-17-74	David L. Nelson	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S 3 T12N R2W Box Elder	0.25 *
29-1897	7-19-74	Alphalus A. Johnson	Unnamed stream	Irr	S 3 T12N R2W Box Elder	0.1 *
29-1898	7-25-74	Keith Fridal	Bear River	Irr	S19 T11N R2W Box Elder	1.0 *
25-6216	7-23-74	V.H. Johnson	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S27 T10N R1E Cache	0.50 *
25-6217	7-22-74	E.L. Waite	Spring Creek	Irr	S10 T12N R1E Cache	0.1 *
29-1899	7-23-74	Verl Coombs	Unnamed stream	Irr	S12 T12N R3W Box Elder	0.5 *
25-6218	7-23-74	Town of Amalga, Inc.	Underground-well	Municipal	S20 T13N R1E Cache	1.0 *
25-6219	7-25-74	Boyd D. Buttars	Buttars Lateral	Irr	S10 T14N R1W Cache	2.5 *
21-1342	7-31-74	Eugene N. Williams	Underground-well	Dom	S15 T2N R10E Summit	0.015 *
25-6240	7-23-74	Jack Palow	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S11 T12N R1E Cache	0.015 *
29-1901	8- 1-74	K.S. &/or D.A. Major	3 Unnamed springs	Irr	S 3 T12N R2W Box Elder	0.1 *
25-6241	7-15-74	Gary B. Hansen	Unnamed open drain	Stk, Irr	S36 T14N R1W Cache	0.5 *
23-3415	7-25-74	Vaughan Wimmer	Underground-well	Dom, Irr	S20 T13N R6E Rich	0.015 *
25-6242	8- 2-74	J.M. & B.R. Willis	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S12 T13N R1E Cache	0.015 *
25-6244	8- 7-74	Doty Farms	Unnamed drain	Irr	S34 T14N R1E Cache	0.50 *
25-6245	8- 8-74	Sterling Nelson	Unnamed spring	Dom	S15 T13N R1E Cache	0.015 *
25-6246	8- 6-74	R.N. Hirst, Jr.	Underground-well	Stk, Irr	S16 T14N R1E Cache	1.0 *
25-6248	8- 8-74	Floyd Saltern	Unnamed spring	Irr	S35 T12N R1E Cache	0.015 *
25-6249	8- 9-74	Paul G. Anderson	Underground-well	Irr, Stk	S20 T11N R1E Cache	0.022 *
23-3416	8-10-74	Allen V. Cornia	Underground-well	Dom	S16 T 9N R7E Rich	0.015 *

Applic Number	Date of Filing	Name	Source	Use	Location	Amount (cfs)
<u>STATE OF UTAH (Continued)</u>						
25-6250	8-14-74	Harold Anderson, et ux	Underground-well	Irr	S21 T11N R1E Cache	0.05 *
29-1905	8-19-74	Harry K. Hinck	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S12 T12N R2W Box Elder	0.10 *
29-1906	8-20-74	Bob Martin, et ux	Underground-well	Dom	S 7 T11N R3W Box Elder	0.015 *
21-1343	8-26-74	Floyd P. Staley	Underground	Dom	S 9 T2N R10E Summit	0.015 *
23-3417	8-29-74	R.G. Field	Underground-well	Dom	S31 T 9N R5E Rich	0.012 *
29-1907	9- 3-74	Dennis L. Miller	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S 9 T10N R1E Cache	0.015 *
29-1907	8-23-74	B.F. Johnson, et ux	Unnamed stream	Irr	S 3 T12N R2W Box Elder	0.05 *
25-6252	8-29-74	Cyril Funk, et al	Funk Spring	Stk	S11 T13N R1E Cache	0.015 *
25-6253	9- 3-74	Dean H. Hale	Underground-well	Dom, Irr, Other	S15 T12N R1E Cache	0.10 *
25-6254	9- 4-74	Ross Jessop	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S10 T10N R1E Cache	0.5 *
25-6255	9-11-74	Doty Farms	Underground-well	Stk, Irr	S33 T14N R1E Cache	2.0 *
25-6256	8-28-74	Sterling L. Weber	High Spring	Dom, Stk, Irr	S23 T13N R1E Cache	0.015 *
25-6257	9-12-74	J. Calvin Maughan	Underground-well	Stk, Other	S 7 T12N R1E Cache	0.015 *
25-6258	8-27-74	Dean A. Lamb	Kinnickinick Sp.	Stk	S3&4T13N R2W Cache	0.015 *
29-1909	9-16-74	Ralph B. Udy	Underground-well	Irr	S22 T12N R4W Box Elder	2.5 *
25-6259	9-13-74	Sterling Weber, et ux	Underground-well	Dom, Stk, Irr	S23 T13N R1E Cache	0.1 *
25-6260	9-13-74	Leland W. Kidman	Unnamed spring str.	Irr	S24 T12N R1W Cache	0.50 *
25-6261	9-18-74	Sara J. Murray	Open drains	Dom, Stk, Irr	S11 T10N R1E Cache	0.25 *
25-6262	9-17-74	Joseph E. Cowley	Bear River	Stk, Irr	S 4 T12N R1W Cache	4.0 *
29-1910	9-16-74	Issac G. Allen	Underground-well	Irr	S15 T14N R3W Box Elder	1.0 *
29-1911	9-19-74	Romona G. Halford	2 Unnamed streams	Irr	S17 T13N R4W Box Elder	6.0 a.f.*
25-6263	9-23-74	Pacific Midstates, Inc	Underground-well	Dom, Other	S16 T12N R1E Cache	0.015 *
23-3418	9-24-74	Glenn F. Price, et ux	Underground-well	Irr, Stk	S 2 T12N R5E Rich	1.5 *
25-6264	9-25-74	William G. Christley	Pioneer Wtr. Co O.F.	Dom, Stk	S35 T14N R1E Cache	0.015 *
25-6265	9-26-74	Dale C. Hyer	Underground-drain	Irr	S20 T14N R1E Cache	1.0 *
25-6266	9-26-74	Dalè C. Hyer	Underground-drain	Irr	S21 T14N R1E Cache	0.25 *
* Pending (All other application approved)					Total Surface (Utah) 17.784 cfs	
					Total underground (Utah) 19.280 "	
					Total Storage (Utah) 6.0 a.f.	

Applic Number	Date of Filing	Name	Source	Use	Location	Amount (cfs)
<u>STATE OF WYOMING</u>						
5-12-223	8- 2-71	J.R. Broadbent	Underground	Irrig.	S24 T13N R119W Uinta	8.89 *
8-5-216	6-11-73	R.S. Petersen	Underground	Stock	S13 T22N R120W Lincoln	0.06 *
8-12-340	11-29-73	T.W. O'Neill	Underground	Domestic	S 8 T21N R119W Lincoln	0.06 **
21 6/299	1-23-74	Clarence Lowham	Slough of Bear R.	Irrig. Dom.	S17 T15N R120W Uinta	1.04 **
9-1-27	4- 8-74	Nelden Barker	Underground	Stock	S26 T13N R119W Uinta	0.06 app.
9-2-27	4- 8-74	Nelden Barker	Underground	Stock	S26 T13N R119W Uinta	0.06 app.
9-3-27	4- 8-74	Nelden Barker	Underground	Stock	S26 T13N R119W Uinta	0.06 app.
9-4-27	4- 8-74	Nelden Barker	Underground	Stock	S10 T12N R119W Uinta	0.06 app.
9-5-27	4- 8-74	Nelden Barker	Underground	Stock	S10 T12N R119W Uinta	0.06 app.
21 5/321	4-15-74	Jared H. Bowns	Bear River	Irrig.	S36 T16N R121W Uinta	0.73 app.
21 6/321	4-15-74	Jared H. Bowns	Bear River	Irrig.	S36 T16N R121W Uinta	0.54 app.
21 4/326	4-17-74	City of Evanston	Bear River	Municipal	S31 T14N R119W Uinta	7.55 app.
9-8-76	5-29-74	Louis A. Hillier	Underground	Irrig.	S 1 T26N R120W Lincoln	2.01 pend.
9-12-95	6-19-74	Dee Helm	Underground	Domestic	S20 T30N R118W Lincoln	0.06 app.
9-2-145	8- 9-74	MK & UPRR	Underground	Mining	S 7 T14N R118W Uinta	0.06 pend.
9-12-157	8-23-74	FMC Corp.	Underground	Mining	S27 T20N R117W Lincoln	0.56 pend.
9-1-158	8-23-74	FMC Corp.	Underground	Mining	S27 T20N R117W Lincoln	0.56 pend.
9-2-158	8-23-74	FMC Corp.	Underground	Mining	S27 T20N R117W Lincoln	0.56 pend.
9-3-158	8-23-74	FMC Corp.	Underground	Mining	S27 T20N R117W Lincoln	0.56 pend.
9-4-158	8-23-74	FMC Corp.	Underground	Mining, Irrig.	S27 T20N R117W Lincoln	0.45 pend.
9-8-159	8-26-74	R.S. Petersen	Underground	Stock	S13 T22N R120W Lincoln	0.06 app.
* Previously presented to Commission, now cancelled						
** Previously presented to Commission, now approved						
(Not included in totals)						
Total Surface (Wyo)						8.82 cfs
Total underground (Wyo)						5.18 "

Applic Number	Date of Filing	Name	Source	Use	Location	Amount (cfs)
<u>STATE OF IDAHO</u>						
11-7056	4-8-74	M. Bryant Robison	Underground	Irr.	S 7 T12S R44E Bear Lake	3.0 app
11-7057	4-15-74	Roy E. Hincks	Unnamed spring	Dom, Stock	S24&25 T12S R42E Bear L	0.11 app
11-7058	4-29-74	Monsanto Company	Underground	Dom.	S35 T 8S R41E Caribou	0.2 app
11-7059	5-16-74	Terracor	Underground	Dom, Stock, Other	S32 T 9S R42E Caribou	1.0 pend
11-7060	5-15-74	William B. Maughan	Underground	Irr, Dom, Stock	S20 T 8S R42E Caribou	0.17 app
11-7061	7-24-74	J. Rammell & J. Ferrell	Underground	Irr, Dom, Stock	S 8 T12S R43E Bear Lake	1.0 app
11-7062	7-24-74	J. Rammell & J. Ferrell	Unnamed spring	Irr, Stock	S 8 T12S R43E Bear Lake	16.0af pend
11-7063	8-2-74	Agricultural Pro. Corp.	Georgetown Creek	Manufacturing	S35 T10S R44E Bear Lake	2.08 pend
11-7064	7-31-74	J.E. & J.M. Costello	Unnamed spring	Irr.	S34 T12S R44E Bear Lake	2.2 pend
11-7065	8-8-74	Sylvia Murdock	Underground	Irr, Dom	S 8 T12S R43E Bear Lake	1.64 app
11-7066	8-8-74	Sylvia Murdock	Underground	Irr, Dom	S 8 T12S R43E Bear Lake	1.0 app
11-7067	8-9-74	Jared P. Lowe	Underground	Irr, Dom	S 3 T 9S R41E Caribou	0.20 app
11-7068	8-14-74	Roland Johns	Thomas Fork	Irr.	S10 T14S R46E Bear Lake	1.0 app
11-7069	8-28-74	L. Junior Wallentine	Underground	Irr.	S21 T13S R43E Bear Lake	3.0 pend
11-7070	9-6-74	Larry Lewis	Underground	Irr, Dom	S 6 T 9S R42E Caribou	0.20 app
11-7071	9-16-74	R. Parsons & V. Parsons	Underground	Dom	S 7 T12S R43E Bear Lake	0.04 pend
11-7072	9-16-74	R. Parsons & V. Parsons	Underground	Dom	S 7 T12S R43E Bear Lake	0.04 pend
13-7075	5-6-74	E.N.N. Company	Leo's Pond	Irr.	S22 T13S R38E Franklin	3.2 app
13-7076	5-24-74	Lealand Weeks	Unnamed pond	Irr.	S34 T15S R39E Franklin	1.2 app
13-7077	6-13-74	Jarvis W. Greene	Unnamed spring	Dom	S19 T16S R39E Franklin	0.04 app
13-7079	7-3-74	Thelma N. Youngman	Underground	Dom	S30 T14S R40E Franklin	0.04 app
13-7080	7-25-74	Ben B. Johnson	Unnamed drainage	Irr.	S 8 T15S R39E Franklin	1.5 app
13-7081	7-31-74	Keith D. Hodges	Unnamed drainage	Irr.	S 8 T15S R39E Franklin	1.0 app
13-7082	8-6-74	William R. Barrus	Underground	Irr, Dom, Stock	S25 T14S R39E Franklin	0.20 app
13-7083	9-30-74	Thatcher Water Co. Inc.	Spring trib to BR	Dom, Stock	S 1 T12S R40E Franklin	0.52 pend
13-7084	10-7-74	Von N. Simonson	Underground	Irr.	S27 T 9S R40E Caribou	5.6 pend
13-7085	10-11-74	Darrell D. Rank	Five Mile Creek	Irr, Dom, Stock	S22 T15S R38E Franklin	2.06 pend

Applic Number	Date of Filing	Name	Source	Use	Location	Amount (cfs)
			<u>STATE OF IDAHO</u>	<u>(Continued)</u>		
15-7021	7-12-74	Green Acres c/o D.Ward	Underground	Irr.	S16 T14S R36E Oneida	0.46 app
15-7022	8-7-74	J.J. &/or B.B. Evans	Underground	Irr.	S 1 T15S R35E Oneida	3.2 app
Total Surface (Idaho) Total Underground (Idaho) Total Storage (Idaho)						14.91' cfs 20.99 " 16.0 a.f.